

Hypothesis Testing 1 MS

Q1.

<p>3 (i) $z = \frac{2.55 - 2.62}{0.3/\sqrt{45}} = -1.565$</p> <p>$P(z > -1.565) = 0.941$</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[3]</p>	<p>Standardising no cc</p> <p>Dividing 0.3 by $\sqrt{45}$ as denominator</p> <p>Correct answer (Accept equivalent method using totals)</p>
<p>(ii) rejection region is $m < a_1$ and $m > a_2$</p> <p>where $\frac{a_1 - 2.62}{0.3/\sqrt{30}} = -1.645$</p> <p>and $\frac{a_2 - 2.62}{0.3/\sqrt{30}} = 1.645$</p> <p>$m < 2.53$ and $m > 2.71$</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[4]</p>	<p>± 1.645 seen</p> <p>one correct unsimplified equation of correct form</p> <p>second unsimplified equation of correct form (or clear use of 1-tail test and ± 1.282 used)</p> <p>correct answer</p>

Q2.

<p>7 (i) Type I error is made when we say the number of white blood cells has decreased when it hasn't.</p> <p>$P(0) = e^{-5.2} = 0.005516$</p> <p>$P(1) = e^{-5.2}(5.2) = 0.02868 \Sigma < 0.10$</p> <p>$P(2) = e^{-5.2}(5.2^2/2) = 0.07458 \Sigma > 0.10$</p> <p>$P(\text{Type I error}) = 0.0342$</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1*</p> <p>A1 dep</p> <p>[4]</p>	<p>Correct and relating to question</p> <p>Evaluating at least 2 of $P(X = 0, 1, 2)$</p> <p>Comparing their Σ 3 probs with 10% (must be Σ probs)</p> <p>Correct answer, dep on previous M</p>
<p>(ii) $H_0: \lambda = 5.2$</p> <p>$H_1: \lambda < 5.2$</p> <p>$P(0+1+2) = 0.1087 > 10\%$</p> <p>2 not in C Region.</p> <p>Accept H_0. Not enough evidence to say the number of blood cells has decreased.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[3]</p>	<p>Both hypotheses correct</p> <p>Stating 2 is not in the critical region from above, or evaluating $P(0, 1, 2)$ and comparing with 10% again</p> <p>Correct conclusion no contradictions</p>
<p>(iii) $P(\text{Type II error}) = 1 - P(0, 1)$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$= 1 - e^{-4.1}(1 + 4.1)$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$= 0.915$</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>[3]</p>	<p>Identifying correct area</p> <p>(indep) Some form of (Poisson) expression with mean 4.1</p> <p>Correct answer</p>

Hypothesis Testing 1 MS

Q3.

5	<p>(i) $E(F) = 28 + 1/2 \times 52 = 54$ $Var(F) = 5.6^2 + 1/4 \times 12.4^2 = 69.8$</p>	B1 M1 A1	[3]	$\sqrt{69.8}$ or 8.35: M1A0
	<p>(ii) H_0: Grinford mean = 54; H_1: Grinford mean < 54</p> $\frac{49 - 54}{\sqrt{\frac{69.8}{10}}}$ <p>= -1.89(3) or -1,89(2) allow + Comp with -1.645 (or 1.893 with 1.645)</p> <p>Evidence that Grinford mean lower</p>	B1ft M1 A1 M1 A1ft	[5]	<p>Allow “μ”, otherwise undefined mean: B0 ft their 54</p> <p>Standardising must have $\sqrt{10}$</p> <p>Comp $P(z < -1.893)$ with 0.05 Allow comparison with 1.96 for consistent 2-tail test Allow “Accept Grinford mean lower” No contradictions OR Alt methods $(x - 54)/(\sqrt{(69.8/10)}) = 1.645$ giving $x = 49.65$ compare with 49 scores M1A1M1A1ft. oe. No mixed methods.</p>

Q4.

7	<p>(i) $Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{121}{200}$ or SD of $\bar{X} = \frac{11}{\sqrt{200}}$</p> $\pm \frac{354 - 352}{\frac{11}{\sqrt{200}}} \quad (= \pm 2.571)$ <p>$1 - \Phi(“2.571”)$ $(= 1 - 0.9949)$ $= 0.0051$</p>	M1 A1 M1 A1	[4]	<p>Or with cc attempted. Allow no $\sqrt{\quad}$ Must include 200 or $\sqrt{200}$ 2.57(1) or correct expression</p>
	<p>(ii) (No)</p> <p>n is large, \bar{X} (appr) norm distr or CLT applies</p>	B1 B1	[2]	<p>“No” must be seen or implied, but gains no marks by itself $n \geq 30$ (SR Both statements correct, but wrong or no conclusion scores B1)</p>
	<p>(iii) H_0: Pop mean = 352 H_1: Pop mean \neq 352</p> $\pm \frac{356 - 352}{\frac{11}{\sqrt{50}}} \quad \pm (= 2.57(1))$ <p>Comp with $z = \pm 1.96$ (signs consistent) Evidence that pop mean has changed</p>	B1 M1 A1 B1 $\sqrt{\quad}$	[4]	<p>Allow ‘μ’ but not just ‘mean’</p> <p>Must have $\sqrt{50}$ Correct statement or 2.57(1)</p> <p>Correct comparison, and correct conclusion, follow through one tail test</p>
[Total: 10]				

Hypothesis Testing 1 MS

Q5.

2 $H_0: P(\text{correct}) = \frac{1}{5}$ $H_1: P(\text{correct}) > \frac{1}{5}$ $B(100, \frac{1}{5}) \approx N(20, 16)$	B1	Accept p Accept $H_0: \mu = 20$ $H_1: \mu > 20$
$\frac{26.5 - 20}{4} = 1.625$	M1 A1	Allow wrong or no cc or denom = 16 For ± 1.625
comp $z = 1.645$	A1	
Claim not justified	M1	Valid comparison of z or areas (0.0521 > 0.05)
	A1ft [5]	In context. No contradictions. Ft their z.

Q6.

1	(i)	$H_0: \text{Pop mean} = 3$ $H_1: \text{Pop mean} > 3$	B1 [1]	Allow or μ or λ , but not just 'mean'
	(ii)	$0.0683 > 0.05$	M1	For inequality stated or clearly shown on dig.
		No evidence that pop mean increased	A1ft [2]	Allow 'No increase in mean'
[Total: 3]				

Q7.

5	(i)	$P(> 9 \text{ Heads} \mid \text{unbiased}) =$ ${}^{12}C_{10} \times 0.5^{10} \times 0.5^2 + 12$ $\times 0.5^{11} \times 0.5 + 0.5^{12}$	M1	Allow Bin $P(X = 9, 10, 11, 12)$ correct or $1 - P(X = (9), 10, 11, 12)$ any p/q Allow Bin $P(X = 9, 10, 11, 12)$ correct p/q Allow 2% if correct working seen
		= 0.0193	M1	
		Level is 1.93% or 1.9%	A1 3	
	(ii)	$B(100, 0.5) \approx N(50, 25)$ $\frac{x - 0.5 \cdot 100}{\sqrt{25}} = z$ $z = 1.645$ $x = 58.7$ Rejection region is > 59	B1 M1	Or proportion method $N(0.5, 0.0025)$ Allow with wrong or no cc or no $\sqrt{\quad}$ (cc for proportion method 0.5/100) + only (consistent with their standardisation)
			B1 A1 A1ft 5	or > 58 (region and integer required)
[Total 8]				