

Hypothesis Testing 3 MS

Q1.

7	<p>(i) Assume sd unchanged or 4500</p> <p>H_0: Pop mean = 34600 H_1: Pop mean > 34600</p> $\frac{35400 - 34600}{\frac{4500}{\sqrt{90}}}$ <p>= 1.687/1.686 (1.69) cf 1.645 < 1.686 Evidence that mean wkly profit has increased</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 f 6</p>	<p>Both. Allow just μ, but not just "mean"</p> <p>Allow without $\sqrt{90}$</p> <p>Valid comparison (or 0.0458/0.0459 < 0.05 or 35380 < 35400 or 34600 < 34620) If H_1: \neq, and 1.96 used, max B1B0M1A1M1A1f No contradictions</p>
	<p>(ii) Distr'n of X unknown.</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>B1*</p> <p>B1* dep 2</p>	<p>Allow not Normal</p>
	<p>(iii) 0.05 or 5%</p>	<p>B1 1</p>	
	<p>(iv) $\frac{a - 34600}{\frac{4500}{\sqrt{90}}} = 1.645$</p> <p>$a = 35380$</p> <p>$\frac{35380 - 36500}{\frac{4500}{\sqrt{90}}} (= -2.361)$</p> <p>$1 - \Phi('2.361')$ = 0.0091</p>	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 6</p>	<p>Attempt to find cv must see (+) 1.645 allow without $\sqrt{90}$. If found in (i) award when used</p> <p>Standardising with their " CV " must use $\sqrt{90}$</p> <p>Correct tail</p>
[Total: 14]			

Hypothesis Testing 3 MS

Q2.

5	<p>(i) $E(X) = 3.5$ $(1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + 5^2 + 6^2) \div 6 -$ $"3.5"^{*2}$ $(= \frac{35}{12} \text{ AG})$</p>	B1 B1	2	<p>21/6 oe, must see correct expression and no incorrect working</p>
	<p>(ii) Attempt $P(X < 3)$ or $1 - P(X \geq 3)$ $N(3.5, \frac{35}{12}/50)$ $\frac{3 - "3.5"}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{12}/50}} (= -2.070)$ $\Phi(" -2.070") = 1 - \Phi("2.070")$ $= 0.0192$ as final answer</p>	M1 M1 M1 M1		<p>seen or implied seen or implied or $\frac{2.99 - "3.5"}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{12}/50}} (= -2.111)$ $\Phi(" -2.111") = 1 - \Phi("2.111")$ $= 0.0174$ or 0.0173 Consistent area As final answer or valid total method 5 Allow with incorrect cc (e.g. 2.5) OR no $\sqrt{\quad}$. Must have $\div 50$</p>
	<p>(iii) Die is biased (towards lower numbers) Mean of 50 throws ≥ 3 (Allow > 3) or Equal nos of high and low scores or More high scores</p>	B1 indep B1 indep	2	<p>Comment implying die is biased Comment implying results of exp't do not indicate bias (or indicate bias towards higher numbers) Both must be in context</p>
Total			[9]	

Q3.

6	<p>(i) H_0: Rate = 0.9 H_1: Rate < 0.9 $1 - P(17, 18, 19, 20)$ $1 - ({}^{20}C_{17} \times 0.1^3 \times 0.9^{17} + {}^{20}C_{18} \times 0.1^2 \times 0.9^{18} + 20 \times 0.1 \times 0.9^{19} + 0.9^{20})$ $= 0.133$ (3 sf)</p>	B1 M1 M1 A1	[4]	<p>$p = 0.9$ $p < 0.9$ Use of $B(20, 0.1)$ Allow $1 - P(18, 19, 20)$ or $1 - P(16, 17, 18, 19, 20)$</p>
	<p>(ii) Type II H_0 will not be rejected</p>	B1 B1	[2]	<p>or Stephan will conclude standard not fallen No contradictions</p>
		[Total: 6]		

Hypothesis Testing 3 MS

Q4.

7	(i)	<p>2nd</p> <p>More representative of all appointments or Lengths may vary during the day or 1st does not include later appts so not representative</p>	B1	<p>Any implication that times or conditions vary throughout day, e.g. doctors get tired</p>
			B1 [2]	
		(ii)		<p>0.01 o.e.</p> <p>Concluding that times spent are too long when they are not.</p>
			B1 [2]	<p>Concluding that the mean time spent is more than 10 mins when it is not. Must be in context.</p>
		(iii)		<p>H₀: Pop mean appt time (or μ) = 10 H₁: Pop mean appt time (or μ) > 10</p>
		$\frac{147-10}{\frac{3.4}{\sqrt{12}}} (\pm)$	M1	<p>Both correct. Allow μ, but not just "mean"</p>
		<p>= (\pm)2.292 or (0.0109 if area comparison done)</p>	A1	<p>Allow incorrect $\frac{147}{12}$ Must have $\sqrt{12}$ (accept totals method)</p>
		<p>"2.292" < 2.326 o.e.</p>	M1	<p>For valid comparison Comp "2.292" with 2.326 Or 0.0109 with 0.01 Or 147/12 with 12.28</p>
		<p>(No evidence to reject H₀.) No reason to believe appts are too long</p>	A1✓ [5]	<p>Dep 2.326, ft their "2.292" No contradictions</p>
		(iv)		<p>Normal population</p>
			B1 [1]	<p>Must have "population" or equiv</p>

Hypothesis Testing 3 MS

Q5.

6	(i)	$H_0: p = 0.2$ $H_1: p < 0.2$ $P(0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ 5s in } 25 H_0)$ $= 0.0274$ (3 s.f.) Comp with 0.025 No evidence (at 2.5% level) to support claim	B1 M1 A1 M1 A1 ✓ [5]	(Allow π) $0.8^{25} + 25 \times 0.8^{24} \times 0.2$ Use of B(25,1/5) and P(0) or P(1) or both – may be implied by “0.0274” Valid comparison No contradictions SR Use of Normal N(5,4) leading to $z = 1.75$ or 0.0401 B1* $H_0 \mu = 5$ $H_1 \mu < 5$ B1. Comparison $1.75 < 1.96$ or $0.0401 > 0.025$ B1* dep
	(ii)	Normal $\mu = 200, \sigma^2 = 160$ or $\sigma = \sqrt{160}$	B1 B1 [2]	
	(iii)	Concluding that the machine produces the right proportion of 5s, although it doesn't.	B1 [1]	Not concluding that the machine produces too few 5s although it does. Must be in context o.e. No contradictions

Q6.

1	(i)	“Different” being investigated	B1	[1]	Oe (“changed”, “not equal to”)
	(ii)	H_0 : Pop mean (or μ) in region same as elsewhere H_1 : Pop mean (or μ) in region diff from elsewhere $1.91 < 2.054$ (or 2.055) or $-1.91 > -2.054$ No evidence that mean is different	B1 M1 A1	[3]	Must be “pop mean”, not just “mean” Can be awarded in (i) oe or $P(z > 1.91) = 0.0281 > 0.02$ or $0.0562 > 0.04$ or $0.972 < 0.98$ Accept 2.05 if nothing better seen. inequality sign incorrect M1A0 no contradictions “accept H_0 ” provided H_0 reasonably well defined
	Total			[4]	

Hypothesis Testing 3 MS

Q7.

6	(i)	$\lambda = 4.65$ $e^{-4.65} \times \frac{4.65^4}{4!}$ $= 0.186 \text{ (3 sf)}$	B1 M1 A1	3	Poisson $P(X = 4)$ with any λ
	(ii)	$\lambda = 3.875$ $= e^{-3.875} \left(1 + 3.875 + \frac{3.875^2}{2!} \right) = 0.257 \text{ (3 sf)}$	B1 M1 A1	3	$P(X = 0, 1, 2)$ Attempted, any λ As final answer
	(iii)	$\lambda = 1.5$ $1 - e^{-1.5} \left(1 + 1.5 + \frac{1.5^2}{2!} \right)$ $= 0.191 \text{ (3 sf)}$	B1 M1 A1	3	$1 - P(X = 0, 1, 2)$ Attempted, any λ As final answer
	(iv)	He will reject H_0 .	B1	1	
			Total: 10		